arXiv:1201.3181v1 [cs.CC] 16 Jan 2012

Near-Optimal Expanding Generating Sets for Solvable Permutation Groups

V. Arvind[†] Partha Mukhopadhyay[*] Prajakta Nimbhorkar [*] Yadu Vasudev [†]

Abstract

Let $G = \langle S \rangle$ be a solvable permutation group of the symmetric group S_n given as input by the generating set S. We give a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm that computes an *expanding generating set* of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ for G. More precisely, the algorithm computes a subset $T \subset G$ of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)(1/\lambda)^{O(1)}$ such that the undirected Cayley graph $Cay(G, T)$ is a λ-spectral expander (the $\widetilde{O}$ notation suppresses $\log^{O(1)} n$ factors). As a byproduct of our proof, we get a new explicit construction of ε-bias spaces of size $\widetilde{O}(n \operatorname{poly}(\log d))(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{O(1)}$ for the groups $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$. The earlier known size bound was $O((d + n/\varepsilon^2))^{11/2}$ given by [AMN98].

---

[*] Chennai Mathematical Institute, Siruseri, India. Emails: {`partham,prajakta`}`@cmi.ac.in`
[†] The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, India.Emails: {`arvind,yadu`}`@imsc.res.in`

1 Introduction

Expander graphs are of great interest and importance in theoretical computer science, especially in the study of randomness in computation; the monograph by Hoory, Linial, and Wigderson [HLW06] is an excellent reference. A central problem is the explicit construction of expander graph families [HLW06, LPS88]. By explicit it is meant that the family of graphs has efficient deterministic constructions, where the notion of efficiency depends upon the application at hand, e.g. [Rei08]. Explicit constructions with the best known and near optimal expansion and degree parameters (the so-called Ramanujan graphs) are Cayley expander families [LPS88].

Alon and Roichman, in [AR94], show that every finite group has a logarithmic size expanding generating set using the probabilistic method. For any finite group G and $\lambda > 0$, they show that with high probability a random multiset S of size $O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\log|G|)$ picked uniformly at random from G is a λ-spectral expander. Algorithmically, if G is given as input by its multiplication table then there is a randomized *Las Vegas* algorithm for computing S: pick the multiset S of $O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\log|G|)$ many elements from G uniformly and independently at random and check in deterministic time $|G|^{O(1)}$ that $Cay(G,T)$ is a λ-spectral expander.

Wigderson and Xiao gave a derandomization of this algorithm in [WX08](also see [AMN11] for a new combinatorial proof of [WX08]). Given $\lambda > 0$ and a finite group G by a multiplication table, they show that in deterministic time $|G|^{O(1)}$ a multiset S of size $O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\log|G|)$ can be computed such that $Cay(G,T)$ is a λ-spectral expander.

This paper

Suppose the finite group G is a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n and G is given as input by a *generating set* S, and not explicitly by a multiplication table. The question we address is whether we can compute an $O(\log|G|)$ size expanding generating set for G in deterministic polynomial time.

Notice that if we can randomly (or nearly randomly) sample from the group G in polynomial time, then the Alon-Roichman theorem implies that an $O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\log|G|)$ size sample will be an $(1-\lambda)$-expanding generating set with high probability. Moreover, it is possible to sample efficiently and near-uniformly from any black-box group given by a set of generators [Bab91].

This problem can be seen as a generalization of the construction of small bias spaces in say, $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ [AGHP92]. It is easily proved (see e.g. [HLW06]), using some character theory of finite abelian groups, that ε-bias spaces are precisely expanding generating sets for $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ (and this holds for any finite abelian group). Interestingly, the best known explicit construction of ε-bias spaces is of size either $O(n^2/\varepsilon^2)$ [AGHP92] or $O(n/\varepsilon^3)$ [ABN$^+$92], whereas the Alon-Roichman theorem guarantees the existence of ε-bias spaces of size $O(n/\varepsilon^2)$.

Subsequently, Azar, Motwani and Naor [AMN98] gave a construction of ε-bias spaces for finite abelian groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$ using Linnik's theorem and Weil's character sum bounds. The size of the ε-bias space they give is $O((d+n^2/\varepsilon^2)^C)$ where the constant C comes from Linnik's theorem and the current best known bound for C is $11/2$.

Let G be a finite group, and let $S = \langle g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\rangle$ be a *generating* set for G. The *undirected Cayley graph* $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S\cup S^{-1})$ is an undirected multigraph with vertex set G and edges of the form $\{x, xg_i\}$ for each $x \in G$ and $g_i \in S$. Since S is a generating set for G, $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S\cup S^{-1})$ is a connected regular multigraph.

In this paper we prove a more general result. Given any solvable subgroup G of S_n (where G is given by a generating set) and $\lambda > 0$, we construct an expanding generating set T for G of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)(\frac{1}{\lambda})^{O(1)}$ such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G,T)$ is a λ-spectral expander. We also note that, for a *general* permutation group $G \leq S_n$ given by a generating set, we can compute (in deterministic polynomial time) an $O(n^c)(\frac{1}{\lambda})^{O(1)}$ size generating set T such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G,T)$ is a λ-spectral expander. Here c is a large absolute constant.

Now we explain the main ingredients of our expanding generating set construction for solvable groups:

1. Let G be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup of G. Given expanding generating sets S_1 and S_2 for N and G/N respectively such that the corresponding Cayley graphs are λ-spectral expanders, we give a simple polynomial-time algorithm to construct an expanding generating set S for G such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is also λ-spectral expander. Moreover, $|S|$ is bounded by a constant factor of $|S_1|+|S_2|$.

2. We compute the derived series for the given solvable group $G \leq S_n$ in polynomial time using a standard algorithm [Luk93]. This series is of $O(\log n)$ length due to Dixon's theorem. Let the derived series for G be $G = G_0 \rhd G_1 \rhd \cdots \rhd G_k = \{1\}$. Assuming that we already have an expanding generating set for each quotient group G_i/G_{i+1} (which is abelian) of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$, we apply the previous step repeatedly to obtain an expanding generating set for G of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$. We can do this because the derived series is a normal series.

3. Finally, we consider the abelian quotient groups G_i/G_{i+1} and give a polynomial time algorithm to construct expanding generating sets of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ for them. This construction applies a series decomposition of abelian groups as well as makes use of the Ajtai et al construction of expanding generating sets for $\mathbb{Z}_t$ [AIK$^+$90].

We describe the steps 1, 2 and 3 in Sections 2, 3 and 4 respectively. As a simple application of our main result, we give a new explicit construction of ε-bias spaces for the groups $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$ which we explain in Section 5. The size of our ε-bias spaces are $O(n\,\mathrm{poly}(\log n, \log d))(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{O(1)}$. To the best of our knowledge, the known construction of ε-bias space for $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$ gives a size bound of $O((d+n/\varepsilon^2))^{11/2}$ [AMN98]. In particular, we note that our construction improves the Azar-Motwani-Naor construction significantly in the parameters d and n.

It is interesting to ask if we can obtain expanding generating sets of smaller size in deterministic polynomial time. For an upper bound, by the Alon-Roichman theorem we know that there exist expanding generating sets of size $O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\log|G|)$ for any $G \leq S_n$, which is bounded by $O(n\log n/\lambda^2) = \widetilde{O}(n/\lambda^2)$. In general, given G, an algorithmic question is to ask for a minimum size expanding generating set for G that makes the Cayley graph λ-spectral expander.

In this connection, it is interesting to note the following negative result that Lubotzky and Weiss [LW93] have shown about solvable groups: Let $\{G_i\}$ be any infinite family of finite solvable groups $\{G_i\}$ such that each G_i has derived series of length bounded by some constant ℓ. Further, suppose that Σ_i is an arbitrary generating set for G_i such that its size $|\Sigma_i| \leq k$ for each i and some constant k. Then the Cayley graphs $\mathrm{Cay}(G_i,\Sigma_i)$ do not form a family of expanders. In contrast, they also exhibit an infinite family of solvable groups in [LW93] that give rise to constant-degree Cayley expanders.

2 Combining Generating Sets for Normal subgroup and Quotient Group

Let G be any finite group and N be a normal subgroup of G (i.e. $g^{-1}Ng = N$ for all $g \in G$). We denote this by $G \rhd N \rhd \{1\}$. Let $A \subset N$ be an expanding generating set for N and $\mathrm{Cay}(N,A)$ be a λ-spectral expander. Similarly, suppose $B \subset G$ such that $\widehat{B} = \{Nx \mid x \in B\}$ is an expanding generating set for the quotient group G/N and $\mathrm{Cay}(G/N,\widehat{B})$ is also a λ-spectral expander. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ denote a set of distinct coset representatives for the normal subgroup N in G. In this section we show that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A \cup B)$ is a $\frac{1+\lambda}{2}$-spectral expander.

In order to analyze the spectral expansion of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A \cup B)$ it is useful to view vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{|G|}$ as elements of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. The group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ consists of linear combinations $\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ for $\alpha_g \in \mathbb{C}$. Addition in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is component-wise, and clearly $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a $|G|$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. The product of $\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ and $\sum_{h \in G} \beta_h h$ is defined naturally as: $\sum_{g,h \in G} \alpha_g \beta_h gh$.

Let $S \subset G$ be any symmetric subset and let M_S denote the normalized adjacency matrix of the undirected Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$. Now, each element $a \in G$ defines the linear map $M_a : \mathbb{C}[G] \to \mathbb{C}[G]$ by $M_a(\sum_g \alpha_g g) = \sum_g \alpha_g ga$. Clearly, $M_S = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{a \in S} M_a$ and $M_S(\sum_g \alpha_g g) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{a \in S} \sum_g \alpha_g ga$.

In order to analyze the spectral expansion of $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A \cup B)$ we consider the basis $\{xn \mid x \in X, n \in N\}$ of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. The element $u_N = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{n \in N} n$ of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ corresponds to the uniform distribution supported on N. It has the following important properties:

1. For all $a \in N$ $M_a(u_N) = u_N$ because $Na = N$ for each $a \in N$.
2. For any $b \in G$ consider the linear map $\sigma_b : \mathbb{C}[G] \to \mathbb{C}[G]$ defined by conjugation: $\sigma_b(\sum_g \alpha_g g) = \sum_g \alpha_g b^{-1} gb$. Since $N \lhd G$ the linear map σ_b is an automorphism of N. It follows that for all $b \in G$ $\sigma_b(u_N) = u_N$.

Now, consider the subspaces U and W of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ defined as follows:

$$U = \left\{ \left(\sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x x \right) u_N \right\}, \quad W = \left\{ \sum_{x \in X} x \left(\sum_{n \in N} \beta_{n,x} n \right) \;\middle|\; \sum_n \beta_{n,x} = 0, \ \forall x \in X \right\}$$

It is easy to see that U and W are indeed subspaces of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Furthermore, we note that every vector in U is orthogonal to every vector in W, i.e. $U \perp W$. This follows easily from the fact that xu_N is orthogonal to $x \sum_{n \in N} \beta_{n,x} n$ whenever $\sum_{n \in N} \beta_{n,x} n$ is orthogonal to u_N. Note that $\sum_{n \in N} \beta_{n,x} n$ is indeed orthogonal to u_N when $\sum_{n \in N} \beta_{n,x} = 0$. We claim that $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of its subspaces U and W.

Proposition 2.1. *The group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ has a direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{C}[G] = U + W$.*

Proof. Since $U \perp W$, it suffices to check that $\dim(U) + \dim(W) = |G|$. The set $\{xu_N \mid x \in X\}$ forms an orthogonal basis for U since for any $x \neq y \in X$, xu_N is orthogonal to yu_N. The cardinality of this basis is $|X|$. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_{|N|-1}$ be the $|N| - 1$ vectors orthogonal to the uniform distribution u_N in the eigenbasis for the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(N, A)$. It is easy to see that the set $\{xz_j \mid x \in X, 1 \leq j \leq |N| - 1\}$ of size $|X|(|N| - 1)$ forms a basis for W. $\square$

We will now prove the main result of this section.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be any finite group and N be a normal subgroup of G and $\lambda < 1/2$ be any constant. Suppose A is an expanding generating set for N so that $\mathrm{Cay}(N, A)$ is a λ-spectral expander. Furthermore, suppose $B \subsetneq G$ such that $\widehat{B} = \{Nx \mid x \in B\}$ is an expanding generator for the quotient group G/N and $\mathrm{Cay}(G/N, \widehat{B})$ is also a λ-spectral expander. Then $A \cup B$ is an expanding generating set for G such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A \cup B)$ is a $\frac{(1+\lambda)(\max |A|, |B|)}{|A|+|B|}$-spectral expander. In particular, if $|A| = |B|$ then $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A \cup B)$ is a $\frac{(1+\lambda)}{2}$-spectral expander.*[1]

---

[1] The sizes of A and B is not a serious issue for us. Since we consider multisets as expanding generating sets, notice that we always ensure $|A|$ and $|B|$ are within a factor of 2 of each other by scaling the smaller multiset appropriately. Indeed, in our construction we can even ensure when we apply this lemma that the multisets A and B are of the same cardinality which is a power of 2.

Proof. We will give the proof only for the case when $|A| = |B|$ (the general case is identical).

Let $v \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ be any vector such that $v \perp \mathbf{1}$ and M denote the adjacency matrix of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A \cup B)$. Our goal is to show that $\|Mv\| \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{2}\|v\|$. Notice that the adjacency matrix M can be written as $\frac{1}{2}(M_A + M_B)$ where $M_A = \frac{1}{|A|}\sum_{a\in A} M_a$ and $M_B = \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{b\in B} M_b$.[2]

Claim 2.3. *For any two vectors $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, we have $M_A u \in U$, $M_A w \in W$, $M_B u \in U$, $M_B w \in W$, i.e. U and W are invariant under the transformations M_A and M_B.*

Proof. Consider vectors of the form $u = xu_N \in U$ and $w = x\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}n$, where $x \in X$ is arbitrary. By linearity, it suffices to prove for each $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ that $M_a u \in U$, $M_b u \in U$, $M_a w \in W$, and $M_b w \in W$. Notice that $M_a u = xu_N a = xu_N = u$ since $u_N a = u_N$. Furthermore, we can write $M_a w = x\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}na = x\sum_{n'\in N}\gamma_{n',x}n'$, where $\gamma_{n',x} = \beta_{n,x}$ and $n' = na$. Since $\sum_{n'\in N}\gamma_{n',x} = \sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x} = 0$ it follows that $M_a w \in W$. Now, consider $M_b u = ub$. For $x \in X$ and $b \in B$ the element xb can be *uniquely* written as $x_b n_{x,b}$, where $x_b \in X$ and $n_{x,b} \in N$.

$$M_b u = xu_N b = xb(b^{-1}u_N b) = x_b n_{x,b}\sigma_b(u_N) = x_b n_{x,b} u_N = x_b u_N \in U.$$

Finally,

$$M_b w = x(\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}n)b = xb(\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}b^{-1}nb) = x_b n_{x,b}\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{bnb^{-1},x}n = x_b\sum_{n\in N}\gamma_{n,x}n \in W.$$

Here, we note that $\gamma_{n,x} = \beta_{n',x}$ and $n' = b(n_{x,b}^{-1}n)b^{-1}$. Hence $\sum_{n\in N}\gamma_{n,x} = 0$, which puts $M_b w$ in the subspace W as claimed. $\square$

Claim 2.4. *Let $u \in U$ such that $u \perp \mathbf{1}$ and $w \in W$. Then:*

$$1.\ \|M_A u\| \leq \|u\|,\ \ 2.\ \|M_B w\| \leq \|w\|,\ \ 3.\ \|M_B u\| \leq \lambda\|u\|,\ \ 4.\ \|M_A w\| \leq \lambda\|w\|.$$

Proof. Since M_A is the normalized adjacency matrix of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A)$ and M_B is the normalized adjacency matrix of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, B)$, it follows that for any vectors u and w we have the bounds $\|M_A u\| \leq \|u\|$ and $\|M_B w\| \leq \|w\|$.

Now we prove the third part. Let $u = (\sum_x \alpha_x x)u_N$ be any vector in U such that $u \perp \mathbf{1}$. Then $\sum_{x\in X}\alpha_x = 0$. Now consider the vector $\widehat{u} = \sum_{x\in X}\alpha_x Nx$ in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G/N]$. Notice that $\widehat{u} \perp \mathbf{1}$. Let $M_{\widehat{B}}$ denote the normalized adjacency matrix of $\mathrm{Cay}(G/N, \widehat{B})$. Since it is a λ-spectral expander it follows that $\|M_{\widehat{B}}\widehat{u}\| \leq \lambda\|\widehat{u}\|$. Writing out $M_{\widehat{B}}\widehat{u}$ we get $M_{\widehat{B}}\widehat{u} = \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{b\in B}\sum_{x\in X}\alpha_x Nxb = \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{b\in B}\sum_{x\in X}\alpha_x Nx_b$, because $xb = x_b n_{x,b}$ and $Nxb = Nx_b$ (as N is a normal subgroup). Hence the norm of the vector $\frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{b\in B}\sum_{x\in X}\alpha_x Nx_b$ is bounded by $\lambda\|\widehat{u}\|$. Equivalently, the norm of the vector $\frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{b\in B}\sum_{x\in X}\alpha_x x_b$ is bounded by $\lambda\|\widehat{u}\|$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_B u &= \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_b\left(\sum_x \alpha_x x\right)u_N b = \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_b\left(\sum_x \alpha_x xb\right)b^{-1}u_N b \\ &= \frac{1}{|B|}\left(\sum_b\sum_x \alpha_x x_b n_{x,b}\right)u_N = \frac{1}{|B|}\left(\sum_b\sum_x \alpha_x x_b\right)u_N \end{aligned}$$

---

[2] In the case when $|A| \neq |B|$, the adjacency matrix M will be $\frac{|A|}{|A|+|B|}M_A + \frac{|B|}{|A|+|B|}M_B$.

For any vector $(\sum_{x\in X}\gamma_x x)u_N \in U$ it is easy to see that the norm $\|(\sum_{x\in X}\gamma_x x)u_N\| = \|\sum_{x\in X}\gamma_x x\|\|u_N\|$. Therefore,

$$\|M_B u\| \;=\; \|\frac{1}{|B|}\sum_b\sum_x \alpha_x x_b\|\|u_N\| \;\leq\; \lambda\|\sum_{x\in X}\alpha_x x\|\|u_N\| \;=\; \lambda\|u\|.$$

We now show the fourth part. For each $x \in X$ it is useful to consider the following subspaces of $\mathbb{C}[G]$

$$\mathbb{C}[xN] = \{x\sum_{n\in N}\theta_n n \mid \theta_n \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

For any distinct $x \neq x' \in X$, since $xN \cap x'N = \emptyset$, vectors in $\mathbb{C}[xN]$ have support disjoint from vectors in $\mathbb{C}[x'N]$. Hence $\mathbb{C}[xN] \perp \mathbb{C}[x'N]$ which implies that the subspaces $\mathbb{C}[xN], x \in X$ are pairwise mutually orthogonal. Furthermore, the matrix M_A maps $\mathbb{C}[xN]$ to $\mathbb{C}[xN]$ for each $x \in X$.

Now, consider any vector $w = \sum_{x\in X} x\left(\sum_n \beta_{n,x} n\right)$ in W. Letting $w_x = x\left(\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}n\right) \in \mathbb{C}[xN]$ for each $x \in X$ we note that $M_A w_x \in \mathbb{C}[xN]$ for each $x \in X$. Hence, by Pythogoras theorem we have $\|w\|^2 = \sum_{x\in X}\|w_x\|^2$ and $\|M_A w\|^2 = \sum_{x\in X}\|M_A w_x\|^2$. Since $M_A w_x = xM_A\left(\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}n\right)$, it follows that $\|M_A w_x\| = \|M_A\left(\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}n\right)\| \leq \lambda\|\sum_{n\in N}\beta_{n,x}n\| = \lambda\|w_x\|$.

Putting it together, it follows that $\|M_A w\|^2 \leq \lambda^2\left(\sum_{x\in X}\|w_x\|^2\right) = \lambda^2\|w\|^2$. ☐

We now complete the proof of the lemma. Consider any vector $v \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ such that $v \perp \mathbf{1}$. Let $v = u + w$ where $u \in U$ and $w \in W$. Let $\langle,\rangle$ denote the inner product in $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Mv\|^2 &= \frac{1}{4}\|(M_A+M_B)v\|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\langle (M_A+M_B)v, (M_A+M_B)v\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\langle M_A v, M_A v\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle M_B v, M_B v\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle M_A v, M_B v\rangle\end{aligned}$$

We consider each of the three summands in the above expression.

$$\langle M_A v, M_A v\rangle = \langle M_A(u+w), M_A(u+w)\rangle = \langle M_A u, M_A u\rangle + \langle M_A w, M_A w\rangle + 2\langle M_A u, M_A w\rangle.$$

By Claim 2.3 and the fact that $U \perp W$, $\langle M_A u, M_A w\rangle = 0$. Thus we get

$$\langle M_A v, M_A v\rangle = \langle M_A u, M_A u\rangle + \langle M_A w, M_A w\rangle \leq \|u\|^2 + \lambda^2\|w\|^2, \text{ from Claim 2.4.}$$

By an identical argument, Claim 2.3 and Claim 2.4 imply $\langle M_B v, M_B v\rangle \leq \lambda^2\|u\|^2 + \|w\|^2$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M_A v, M_B v\rangle &= \langle M_A(u+w), M_B(u+w)\rangle \\ &= \langle M_A u, M_B u\rangle + \langle M_A w, M_B w\rangle + \langle M_A u, M_B w\rangle + \langle M_A w, M_B u\rangle \\ &= \langle M_A u, M_B u\rangle + \langle M_A w, M_B w\rangle \\ &\leq \|M_A u\|\|M_B u\| + \|M_A w\|\|M_B w\| \text{ (by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)} \\ &\leq \lambda\|u\|^2 + \lambda\|w\|^2, \text{ which follows from Claim 2.4}\end{aligned}$$

Combining all the inequalities, we get

$$\|Mv\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + 2\lambda + \lambda^2\right)\left(\|u\|^2 + \|w\|^2\right) = \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{4}\|v\|^2.$$

Hence, it follows that $\|Mv\| \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{2}\|v\|$. ☐

Notice that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A \cup B)$ is only a $\frac{1+\lambda}{2}$-spectral expander. We can compute another expanding generating set S for G from $A \cup B$, using *derandomized squaring* [RV05], such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is a λ-spectral expander. We describe this step in Appendix A. As a consequence, we obtain the following lemma which we will use repeatedly in the rest of the paper. For ease of exposition, we fix $\lambda = 1/4$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup of G such that $N = \langle A \rangle$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(N, A)$ is a $1/4$-spectral expander. Further, let $B \subseteq G$ and $\widehat{B} = \{Nx \mid x \in B\}$ such that $G/N = \langle \widehat{B} \rangle$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(G/N, \widehat{B})$ is a $1/4$-spectral expander. Then in time polynomial*[3] *in $|A| + |B|$, we can construct an expanding generating set S for G, such that $|S| = O(|A| + |B|)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is a $1/4$-spectral expander.*

3 Normal Series and Solvable Permutation Groups

In section 2, it was shown how to construct an expanding generating set for a group G from the expanding generating sets of its normal subgroup N and quotient group G/N. In this section, we apply it to the entire normal series for a *solvable* group G. More precisely, let $G \leq S_n$ such that $G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_r = \{1\}$ is a *normal series* for G. Thus G_i is a normal subgroup of G for each i and hence G_i is a normal subgroup of G_j for each $j < i$. We give a construction of an expanding generating set for G, when the expanding generating sets for the quotient groups G_i/G_{i+1} are known.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $G \leq S_n$ with normal series $\{G_i\}_{i=0}^r$ as above. Further, for each i let B_i be a generating set for G_i/G_{i+1} such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G_i/G_{i+1}, B_i)$ is a $1/4$-spectral expander. Let $s = \max_i\{|B_i|\}$. Then in deterministic time polynomial in n and s we can compute a generating set B for G such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, B)$ is a $1/4$-spectral expander and $|B| = c^{\log r} s$ for some constant $c > 0$.*

Proof. The proof is an easy application of Lemma 2.5. First suppose we have three indices k, ℓ, m such that $G_k \triangleright G_\ell \triangleright G_m$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(G_k/G_\ell, S)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(G_\ell/G_m, T)$ both are $1/4$-spectral expanders. Then notice that we have the groups $G_k/G_m \triangleright G_\ell/G_m \triangleright \{1\}$ and the group $\frac{G_k}{G_\ell}$ is isomorphic to $\frac{G_k/G_m}{G_\ell/G_m}$ via a natural isomorphism. Hence $\mathrm{Cay}(\frac{G_k/G_m}{G_\ell/G_m}, \widehat{S})$ is also a $1/4$-spectral expander, where $\widehat{S}$ is the image of S under the said natural isomorphism. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 2.5 by setting G to G_k/G_m and N to G_ℓ/G_m to get a generating set U for G_k/G_m such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G_k/G_m, U)$ is $1/4$-spectral and $|U| \leq c(|S| + |T|)$.

To apply this inductively to the entire normal series, assume without loss of generality, its length to be $r = 2^t$. Inductively assume that in the normal series $G = G_0 \triangleright G_{2^i} \triangleright G_{2 \cdot 2^i} \triangleright G_{3 \cdot 2^i} \cdots \triangleright G_r = \{1\}$, for each quotient group $G_{j2^i}/G_{(j+1)2^i}$ we have an expanding generating set of size $c^i s$ that makes $G_{j2^i}/G_{(j+1)2^i}$ $1/4$-spectral. Now, consider the three groups $G_{(2j)2^i} \triangleright G_{(2j+1)2^i} \triangleright G_{(2j+2)2^i}$ and setting $k = 2j2^i$, $\ell = (2j+1)2^i$ and $m = (2j+2)2^i$ in the above argument we get expanding generating sets for $G_{2j2^i}/G_{(2j+2)2^i}$ of size $c^{i+1}s$ that makes it $1/4$-spectral. The lemma follows by induction. □

3.1 Solvable permutation groups

Now we apply the above lemma to solvable permutation groups. Let G be any finite solvable group. The *derived series* for G is the following chain of subgroups of G: $G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_k = \{1\}$ where, for each i, G_{i+1} is the *commutator subgroup* of G_i. That is G_{i+1} is the normal subgroup of G_i generated by all elements of the form $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ for $x, y \in G_i$. It turns out that G_{i+1} is the minimal normal subgroup of G_i such that G_i/G_{i+1} is abelian. Furthermore, the derived series is also a *normal series*. That means each G_i is in fact a normal subgroup of G itself. It also implies that G_i is a normal subgroup of G_j for each $j < i$.

---

[3] Though the lemma holds for any finite group G, the caveat is that the group operations in G should be polynomial-time computable. Since we focus on permutation groups in this paper we will require it only for quotient groups $G = H/N$ where H and N are subgroups of S_n.

Our algorithm will crucially exploit a property of the derived series of solvable groups $G \leq S_n$: By a theorem of Dixon [Dix68], the length k of the derived series of a solvable subgroup of S_n is bounded by $5\log_3 n$. Thus, we get the following result as a direct application of Lemma 3.1:

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose* $G \leq S_n$ *is a solvable group with derived series* $G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_k = \{1\}$ *such that for each* i *we have an expanding generating set* B_i *for the abelian quotient group* G_i/G_{i+1} *such that* $\mathrm{Cay}(G_i/G_{i+1}, B_i)$ *is a* $1/4$*-spectral expander. Let* $s = \max_i\{|B_i|\}$*. Then in deterministic time polynomial in* n *and* s *we can compute a generating set* B *for* G *such that* $\mathrm{Cay}(G, B)$ *is a* $1/4$*-spectral expander and* $|B| = 2^{O(\log k)}s = (\log n)^{O(1)}s$*.*

Given a solvable permutation group $G \leq S_n$ by a generating set the polynomial-time algorithm for computing an expanding generating set will proceed as follows: in deterministic polynomial time, we first compute [Luk93] generating sets for each subgroup $\{G_i\}_{1\leq i\leq k}$ in the derived series for G. In order to apply the above lemma it suffices to compute an expanding generating set B_i for G_i/G_{i+1} such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G_i/G_{i+1}, B_i)$ is $1/4$-spectral. We deal with this problem in the next section.

4 Abelian Quotient Groups

In Section 3, we have seen how to construct an expanding generating set for a solvable group G, from expanding generating sets for the quotient groups G_i/G_{i+1} in the normal series for G. We are now left with the problem of computing expanding generating sets for the abelian quotient groups G_i/G_{i+1}. We state a couple of easy lemmas that will allow us to further simplify the problem. We defer the proofs of these lemmas to Appendix B.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* H *and* N *be subgroups of* S_n *such that* N *is a normal subgroup of* H *and* H/N *is abelian. Let* $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$ *be the set of all primes bounded by* n *and* $e = \lceil \log n \rceil$*. Then, there is an onto homomorphism* ϕ *from the product group* $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^e}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^e}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^e}^n$ *to the abelian quotient group* H/N*.*

Suppose H_1 and H_2 are two finite groups such that $\phi : H_1 \to H_2$ is an onto homomorphism. In the next lemma we show that the ϕ-image of an expanding generating set for H_1, is an expanding generating set for H_2.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose* H_1 *and* H_2 *are two finite groups such that* $\phi : H_1 \to H_2$ *is an onto homomorphism. Furthermore, suppose* $\mathrm{Cay}(H_1, S)$ *is a* λ*-spectral expander. Then* $\mathrm{Cay}(H_2, \phi(S))$ *is also a* λ*-spectral expander.*

Now, suppose $H, N \leq S_n$ are groups given by their generating sets, where $N \triangleleft H$ and H/N is abelian. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, it suffices to describe a polynomial (in n) time algorithm for computing an expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ for the product group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^e}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^e}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^e}^n$ such that the second largest eigenvalue of the corresponding Cayley graph is bounded by $1/4$. In the following section, we solve this problem.

4.1 Expanding generating set for the product group

In this section, we give a deterministic polynomial (in n) time construction of an $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ size expanding generating set for the product group $\mathbb{Z}^n_{p_1^e} \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_2^e} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_k^e}$ such that the second largest eigenvalue of the corresponding Cayley graph is bounded by $1/4$.

Consider the following *normal series* for this product group given by the subgroups $K_i = \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_1^{e-i}} \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_2^{e-i}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_k^{e-i}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq e$. Clearly, $K_0 \rhd K_1 \rhd \cdots \rhd K_e = \{1\}$. This is obviously a normal series since $K_0 = \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_1^e} \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_2^e} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_k^e}$ is abelian. Furthermore, $K_i/K_{i+1} = \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_k}$.

Since the length of this series is $e = \lceil \log n \rceil$ we can apply Lemma 3.1 to construct an expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ for K_0 in polynomial time assuming that we can compute an expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ for $\mathbb{Z}^n_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_k}$ in deterministic polynomial time. Thus, it suffices to efficiently compute an $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$-size expanding generating set for the product group $\mathbb{Z}^n_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_k}$.

In [AIK$^+$90], Ajtai et al, using some number theory, gave a deterministic polynomial time expanding generating set construction for the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_t$, where t is given in *binary*.

Theorem 4.3 ([AIK$^+$90]). *Let t be a positive integer given in binary as an input. Then there is a deterministic polynomial-time (i.e. in $\mathrm{poly}(\log t)$ time) algorithm that computes an expanding generating set T for $\mathbb{Z}_t$ of size $O(\log^* t \log t)$, where $\log^* t$ is the least positive integer k such that a tower of k 2's bounds t. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_t, T)$ is λ-spectral for any constant λ.*

Now, consider the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_k}$. Since $p_1 p_2 \ldots p_k$ can be represented by $O(n \log n)$ bits in binary, we apply the above theorem (with $\lambda = 1/4$) to compute an expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n)$ for $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_k}$ in $\mathrm{poly}(n)$ time. Let $m = O(\log n)$ be a positive integer to be fixed in the analysis later. Consider the product group $M_0 = \mathbb{Z}^m_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^m_{p_2} \times \ldots \mathbb{Z}^m_{p_k}$ and for $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $M_i = \mathbb{Z}^{m-i}_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-i}_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-i}_{p_k}$. Clearly, the groups M_i form a *normal* series for M_0: $M_0 \rhd M_1 \rhd \cdots \rhd M_m = \{1\}$, and the quotient groups are $M_i/M_{i+1} = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k} = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_k}$. Now we compute (in $\mathrm{poly}(n)$ time) an expanding generating set for $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k}$ of size $\widetilde{O}(n)$ using Theorem 4.3. Then, we apply Lemma 3.1 to the above normal series and compute an expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n)$ for the product group M_0 in polynomial time. The corresponding Cayley graph will be a $1/4$-spectral expander. Now we are ready to describe the expanding generating set construction for $\mathbb{Z}^n_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^n_{p_k}$.

4.1.1 The final construction

For $1 \leq i \leq k$ let m_i be the least positive integer such that $p_i^{m_i} > cn$ (where c is a suitably large constant). Thus, $p_i^{m_i} \leq cn^2$ for each i. For each i, $\mathbb{F}_{p_i^{m_i}}$ be the finite field of $p_i^{m_i}$ elements which can be deterministically constructed in polynomial time since it is polynomial sized. Clearly, there is an onto homomorphism ψ from the group $\mathbb{Z}^m_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^m_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^m_{p_k}$ to the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_{p_1^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p_2^{m_2}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{F}_{p_k^{m_k}}$. Thus, if S is the expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n)$ constructed above for $\mathbb{Z}^m_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^m_{p_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}^m_{p_k}$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\psi(S)$ is an expanding generator multiset of size $\widetilde{O}(n)$ for the additive group $\mathbb{F}_{p_1^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p_2^{m_2}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{F}_{p_k^{m_k}}$. Define $T \subset \mathbb{F}_{p_1^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p_2^{m_2}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{F}_{p_k^{m_k}}$ to be any (say, the lexicographically first) set of cn many k-tuples such that any two tuples $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ and $(x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_k)$ in T are distinct in all coordinates. Thus $x_j \neq x'_j$ for all $j \in [k]$. It is obvious that we can construct T by picking the first cn such tuples in lexicographic order.

Now we will define the expanding generating set R. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in T$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k) \in \psi(S)$. Define $v_i = (y_i, \langle x_i, y_i \rangle, \langle x_i^2, y_i \rangle, \ldots, \langle x_i^{n-1}, y_i \rangle)$ where $x_i^j \in \mathbb{F}_{p_i^{m_i}}$ and $\langle x_i^j, y_i \rangle$ is the inner product modulo p_i of the elements x_i^j and y_i seen as p_i-tuples in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{m_i}$. Hence, v_i is an n-tuple and $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^n$. Now define $R = \{(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k) \mid x \in T, y \in \psi(S)\}$. Notice that $|R| = \widetilde{O}(n^2)$.

Claim 4.4. *R is an expanding generating set for the product group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^n \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^n$.*

Proof. Let $(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k)$ be a nontrivial character of the product group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^n \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^n$, i.e. there is at least one j such that χ_j is nontrivial. Let ω_i be a primitive p_i^{th} root of unity. Recall that, since χ_i is a character there is a corresponding vector $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^n$, i.e. $\chi_i : \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\chi_i(u) = \omega_i^{\langle \beta_i, u \rangle}$ for $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^n$ and the inner product in the exponent is a modulo p_i inner product. The character χ_i is nontrivial if and only if β_i is a nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^n$.

The characters $(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k)$ of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^n \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^n$ are also the eigenvectors for the adjacency matrix of the Cayley graph of the group with any generating set. Thus, in order to prove that R is an expanding generating set for $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^n \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^n$, it is enough to bound the following exponential sum estimate for the nontrivial characters $(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k)$ since that directly bounds the second largest eigenvalue in absolute value.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbb{E}_{x\in T, y\in\psi(S)}[\chi_1(v_1)\chi_2(v_2)\ldots\chi_k(v)]\right| &= \left|\mathbb{E}_{x\in T, y\in\psi(S)}[\omega_1^{\langle \beta_1, v_1\rangle}\ldots\omega_k^{\langle \beta_k, v_k\rangle}]\right| \\
&= \left|\mathbb{E}_{x\in T, y\in\psi(S)}[\omega_1^{\langle q_1(x_1), y_1\rangle}\ldots\omega_k^{\langle q_k(x_k), y_k\rangle}]\right| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{x\in T}\left|\mathbb{E}_{y\in\psi(S)}[\omega_1^{\langle q_1(x_1), y_1\rangle}\ldots\omega_k^{\langle q_k(x_k), y_k\rangle}]\right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $q_i(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \beta_{i,\ell} x^\ell \in \mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x]$ for $\beta_i = (\beta_{i,1}, \beta_{i,2}, \ldots, \beta_{i,n})$. Since the character is nontrivial, suppose $\beta_j \neq 0$, then q_j is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most $n-1$. Hence the probability that $q_j(x_j) = 0$, when x is picked from T is bounded by $\frac{n}{cn}$. On the other hand, when $q_j(x_j) \neq 0$ the tuple $(q_1(x_1), \ldots, q_k(x_k))$ defines a nontrivial character of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^m \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^m$. Since S is an expanding generating set for the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^m \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^m$, the character defined by $(q_1(x_1), \ldots, q_k(x_k))$ is also an eigenvector for $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^m \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^m$, in particular w.r.t. generating set S. Hence, we have that $\left|\mathbb{E}_{y\in S}[\omega_1^{\langle q_1(x_1), y_1\rangle}\ldots\omega_k^{\langle q_k(x_k), y_k\rangle}]\right| \leq \varepsilon$, where the parameter ε can be fixed to an arbitrary small constant by Theorem 4.3. Hence the above estimate is bounded by $\frac{n}{cn} + \varepsilon = \frac{1}{c} + \varepsilon$ which can be made $\leq 1/4$ by choosing c and ϵ suitably. ☐

To summarize, Claim 4.4 along with Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 directly yields the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *In deterministic polynomial (in n) time we can construct an expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ for the product group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^n$ (where for each i, p_i is a prime number $\leq n$) that makes it a $1/4$-spectral expander. Consequently, if H and N are subgroups of S_n given by generating sets and H/N is abelian then in deterministic polynomial time we can compute an expanding generating set of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ for H/N that makes it a $1/4$-spectral expander.*

Finally, we state the main theorem which follows directly from the above theorem and Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $G \leq S_n$ be a solvable permutation group given by a generating set. Then in deterministic polynomial time we can compute an expanding generating set S of size $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ such that the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is a $1/4$-spectral expander.*

On a related note, in the case of general permutation groups we have the following theorem about computing expanding generating sets.

Theorem 4.7. *Given $G \leq S_n$ by a generating set S' and $\lambda > 0$, we can deterministically compute (in time $\mathrm{poly}(n, |S'|)$) an expanding generating set T for G such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, T)$ is a λ-spectral expander and $|T| = O(n^{16q+10} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{32q})$ (where q is the constant in Lemma A.3).*

For a proof-sketch of the above theorem, refer Appendix C. Using the same method as in Appendix C we can observe that for any λ, the size of the expanding generating set S given by Theorem 4.6 is $\widetilde{O}(n^2)(1/\lambda)^{32q}$ when G is a solvable subgroup of S_n.

5 Small Bias Spaces for $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$

In Section 4, we constructed expanding generating sets for abelian groups. We note that this also gives a new construction of ε-bias spaces for $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$, which we describe in this section.

In [AMN98] Azar, Motwani, and Naor first considered the construction of ε-bias spaces for abelian groups, specifically for the group $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$. For arbitrary d and any $\varepsilon > 0$ they construct ε-bias spaces of size $O((d+n^2/\varepsilon^2)^C)$, where C is the constant in Linnik's Theorem. The construction involves finding a suitable prime (or prime power) promised by Linnik's theorem which can take time up to $O((d+n^2)^C)$. The current best known bound for C is $\leq 11/2$ (and assuming ERH it is 2). Their construction yields a polynomial-size ε-bias space for $d = n^{O(1)}$.

It is interesting to compare this result of [AMN98] with our results. Let d be any positive integer with prime factorization $p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$. So each p_i is $O(\log d)$ bit sized and each e_i is bounded by $O(\log d)$. Given d as input in unary, we can efficiently find the prime factorization of d. Using the result of Wigderson and Xiao [WX08], we compute an $O(\log d)$ size expanding generating set for $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_k}$ in deterministic time polynomial in d. Then we construct an expanding generating set of size $O(\mathrm{poly}(\log n) \log d)$ for $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^m \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^m \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^m$ for $m = O(\log n)$ using the method described in Section 4.1. It then follows from Section 4.1.1 that we can construct an $O(n\, \mathrm{poly}(\log n) \log d)$ size expanding generating set for $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^n \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}^n$ in deterministic polynomial time. Finally, from Section 4.1, it follows that we can construct an $O(n\, \mathrm{poly}(\log n, \log d))$ size expanding generating set for $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$ (which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{e_1}}^n \times \ldots \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{e_k}}^n$) since each e_i is bounded by $\log d$. Now for any arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, the explicit dependence of ε in the size of the generating set is $(1/\epsilon)^{32q}$. We obtain it by applying the technique described in Section C. We summarize the discussion in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let d, n be any positive integers (given in unary) and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, in deterministic $\mathrm{poly}(n, d, \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ time, we can construct an $O(n\, \mathrm{poly}(\log n, \log d))(1/\varepsilon)^{32q}$ size ε-bias space for $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$.*

6 Open Problems

Alon-Roichman theorem guarantees the existence of $O(n \log n)$ size expanding generating sets for permutation groups $G \leq S_n$. In this paper, we construct $\widetilde{O}(n^2)$ size expanding generating sets for solvable groups. For an arbitrary permutation group, our bound is far from optimal. Our construction of ε-bias space for $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$ improves upon the construction of [AMN98] in terms of d and n significantly. However, it is worse in terms of the parameter ε. Improving the above bounds remains a challenging open problem.

Acknowledgements. We thank Shachar Lovett for pointing out to us the result of Ajtai et al [AIK$^+$90]. We also thank Avi Wigderson for his comments and suggestions.

References

[ABN$^+$92] Noga Alon, Jehoshua Bruck, Joseph Naor, Moni Naor, and Ron M. Roth, *Construction of asymptotically good low-rate error-correcting codes through pseudo-random graphs*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **38** (1992), no. 2, 509–.

[AGHP92] Noga Alon, Oded Goldreich, Johan Håstad, and René Peralta, *Simple construction of almost k-wise independent random variables*, Random Struct. Algorithms **3** (1992), no. 3, 289–304.

[AIK$^+$90] Miklós Ajtai, Henryk Iwaniec, János Komlós, János Pintz, and Endre Szemerédi, *Construction of a thin set with small Fourier coefficients*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 583–590.

[AMN98] Yossi Azar, Rajeev Motwani, and Joseph Naor, *Approximating Probability Distributions Using Small Sample Spaces*, Combinatorica **18** (1998), no. 2, 151–171.

[AMN11] Vikraman Arvind, Partha Mukhopadhyay, and Prajakta Nimbhorkar, *Erdös-Rényi Sequences and Deterministic construction of Expanding Cayley Graphs*, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC) **18** (2011), 81.

[AR94] Noga Alon and Yuval Roichman, *Random Cayley Graphs and Expanders*, Random Struct. Algorithms **5** (1994), no. 2, 271–285.

[Bab91] László Babai, *Local expansion of vertex-transitive graphs and random generation in finite groups*, Proceedings of the twenty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, STOC '91, 1991, pp. 164–174.

[Dix68] John D. Dixon, *The solvable length of a solvable linear group*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **107** (1968), 151–158, 10.1007/BF01111027.

[HLW06] Shlomo Hoory, Nathan Linial, and Avi Wigderson, *Expander graphs and their applications*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (2006), 439–561.

[Jer82] Mark Jerrum, *A Compact Representation for Permutation Groups*, FOCS, 1982, 126–133.

[LPS88] Alexander Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, *Ramanujan graphs*, Combinatorica **8** (1988), no. 3, 261–277.

[Luk93] Eugene M. Luks, *Permutation groups and polynomial-time computation*, DIMACS series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **11** (1993), 139–175.

[LW93] A. Lubotzky and B. Weiss, *Groups and expanders*, Expanding Graphs (e. J. Friedman), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Compt. Sci. **10pp** (1993), 95–109.

[Rei08] Omer Reingold, *Undirected connectivity in log-space*, J. ACM **55** (2008), no. 4, 17:1–17:24.

[RV05] Eyal Rozenman and Salil P. Vadhan, *Derandomized squaring of graphs*, APPROX-RANDOM, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3624, Springer, 2005, pp. 436–447.

[WX08] Avi Wigderson and David Xiao, *Derandomizing the Ahlswede-Winter matrix-valued Chernoff bound using pessimistic estimators, and applications*, Theory of Computing **4** (2008), no. 1, 53–76.

Appendix

A Derandomized Squaring

We recall a result in [RV05, Observation 4.3,Theorem 4.4] about derandomized squaring applied to Cayley graphs in some detail.

Theorem A.1 ([RV05]). *Let G be a finite group and U be an expanding generating set such that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U)$ is a λ'-spectral expander and H be a consistently labeled d-regular graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, |U|\}$ for a constant d such that H is a μ-spectral expander. Then $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U)Ⓢ H$ is a* directed *Cayley graph for the same group G and with generating set $S = \{u_i u_j \mid (i, j) \in E(H)\}$. Furthermore, if A is the normalized adjacency matrix for $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U)Ⓢ H$ then for any vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{|G|}$ such that $v \perp \mathbf{1}$:*

$$\|Av\| \leq (\lambda'^2 + \mu)\|v\|.$$

Observe that in the definition of the directed Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U)Ⓢ H$ (in the statement above) there is an identification of the vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, |U|\}$ of H with the generator *multiset* U indexed as $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|U|}\}$.

Alternatively, we can also identify the vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, |U|\}$ of H with the generator *multiset* U indexed as $U = \{u_1^{-1}, u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_{|U|}^{-1}\}$, since U is closed under inverses and, as a multiset, we assume for each $u \in U$ both u and u^{-1} occur with the same multiplicity. Let us denote this directed Cayley graph by $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U^{-1})Ⓢ H$. Clearly, by the above result of [RV05] the graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U^{-1})Ⓢ H$ also has the same expansion property. I.e. if A' denotes its normalized adjacency matrix for $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U^{-1})Ⓢ H$ then for any vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{|G|}$ such that $v \perp \mathbf{1}$:

$$\|A'v\| \leq (\lambda'^2 + \mu)\|v\|.$$

We summarize the above discussion in the following lemma.

Lemma A.2. *Let G be a finite group and U be a generator multiset for G such that for each $u \in U$ both u and u^{-1} occur with the same multiplicity (i.e. U is symmetric and preserves multiplicities). Suppose $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U)$ is a λ'-spectral expander. Let H be a consistently labeled d-regular graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, |U|\}$ for a constant d such that H is a μ-spectral expander. Then $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is an* undirected *Cayley graph for the same group G and with generating set $S = \{u_i u_j \mid (i, j) \in E(H)\} \cup \{u_i^{-1} u_j^{-1} \mid (i, j) \in E(H)\}$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is a $(\lambda'^2 + \mu)$-spectral expander of degree $2d|U|$.*

We can, for instance, use the graphs given by the following lemma for H in the above construction.

Lemma A.3 ([RV05]). *For some constant $Q = 4^q$, there exists a sequence of consistently labelled Q-regular graphs on Q^m vertices whose second largest eigenvalue is bounded by $1/100$ such that given a vertex $v \in [Q^m]$ and an edge label $x \in [Q]$, we can compute the x^{th} neighbour of v in time polynomial in m.*

Suppose $\mathrm{Cay}(G, U)$ is a $3/4$-spectral expander and we take H given by the above lemma for derandomized squaring, then it is easy to see that with a constant number of squaring operations we will obtain a generating set S for G such that $|S| = O(|U|)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is a $1/4$-spectral expander. Putting this together with Lemma 2.2 we obtain Lemma 2.5.

B Proof of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Since H is a subgroup of S_n it has a generating set of size at most n [Jer82]. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a generator (multi)set for H. Each permutation x_i can be written as a product of disjoint cycles and the order, r_i, of x_i is the lcm of the lengths of these disjoint cycles. Thus we can write for each i

$$r_i = p_1^{e_{i1}} p_2^{e_{i2}} \ldots p_k^{e_{ik}},$$

where the key point to note is that $p_j^{e_{ij}} \leq n$ for each i and j because r_i is the lcm of the disjoint cycles of permutation x_i. Clearly, $e_{ij} \leq e = \lceil \log n \rceil$.

Now, define the elements $y_{ij} = x_i^{r_i / p_j^{e_{ij}}}$. Notice that the order, $o(y_{ij})$, of y_{ij} is $p_j^{e_{ij}}$.

Let $(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{n1}, \ldots, a_{1k}, \ldots, a_{nk})$ be an element of the product group $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^e}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^e}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^e}^n$, where for each i we have $(a_{1i}, \ldots, a_{ni}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^e}^n$. Now define the mapping ϕ as

$$\phi(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{n1}, \ldots, a_{1k}, \ldots, a_{nk}) = N(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{ij}^{a_{ij}}).$$

Since H/N is abelian, it is easy to see that ϕ is a homomorphism. To see that ϕ is onto, consider $Nx_1^{f_1} \ldots x_\ell^{f_\ell} \in H/N$. Clearly, the cyclic subgroup generated by x_i is the direct product of its p_j-Sylow subgroups generated by y_{ij} for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Hence $x_i^{f_i} = y_{i1}^{a_{i1}} \ldots y_{ik}^{a_{ik}}$ for some $(a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{ik}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{e_{i1}}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{e_{ik}}}$. This vector $(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{nk})$ is a pre-image of $Nx_1^{f_1} \ldots x_\ell^{f_\ell}$, implying that ϕ is onto. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $N = Ker(\phi)$ be the kernel of the onto homomorphism ϕ. Then H_1/N is isomorphic to H_2 and the lemma is equivalent to the claim that $\mathrm{Cay}(H_1/N, \widehat{S})$ is a λ-spectral expander, where $\widehat{S} = \{Ns \mid s \in S\}$ is the corresponding generating set for H_1/N. We can check by a direct calculation that all the eigenvalues of the normalized adjacency matrix of $\mathrm{Cay}(H_1/N, \widehat{S})$ are also eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Cay}(H_1, S)$. This claim also follows from the well-known results in the "expanders monograph" [HLW06, Lemma 11.15,Proposition 11.17]. In order to apply these results, we note that H_1 naturally defines a permutation action on the quotient group H_1/N by $h : Nx \mapsto Nxh$ for each $h \in H_1$ and $Nx \in H_1/N$. Then the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(H_1/N, \widehat{S})$ is just the *Schreier graph* for this action and the generating set S of H_1. Moreover, by [HLW06, Proposition 11.17], all the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Cay}(H_1/N, \widehat{S})$ are eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Cay}(H_1, S)$ and the lemma follows. $\square$

C Expanding Generator Set for any Permutation Group

In this section, we give a proof-sketch of Theorem 4.7. We require the following result on expansion of vertex-transitive graphs; recall that a graph X is said to be *vertex transitive* if its automorphism group $Aut(X)$ acts transitively on its vertex set.

Theorem C.1. [Bab91] *For any vertex-transitive undirected graph of degree d and diameter Δ the second largest eigenvalue of its normalized adjacency matrix is bounded in absolute value by $1 - \frac{1}{16.5 d \Delta^2}$.*

We note the well-known fact that an undirected Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is vertex transitive, given any generating set S for the group G. In particular, if $G \leq S_n$ we know by the Schreier-Sims algorithm [Luk93] that in deterministic polynomial time we can compute a *strong* generating set S' for G, where $|S'| \leq n^2$. In particular, S' has the property that every element of G is expressible as a product of n elements of S'. As a consequence, the diameter of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S')$ is bounded by n. Hence by Theorem C.1, the second largest eigenvalue of $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S')$ is bounded by $1 - \frac{1}{16.5n^4}$. Now we apply derandomized squaring from [RV05] to get a spectral gap $(1 - \lambda)$ for any $\lambda > 0$. In particular, we use the following theorem from [RV05].

Theorem C.2. *[RV05, Theorem 4.4] If X is a consistently labelled K-regular graph on N vertices that is a λ-spectral expander and G is a D-regular graph on K vertices that is a μ-spectral expander, then $X \circledS G$ is an KD-regular graph on N vertices with spectral expansion $f(\lambda, \mu)$, where $f(\lambda, \mu) = 1 - (1 - \lambda^2)(1 - \mu)$ The function f is monotone increasing in λ and μ, and satisfies the following conditions: $f(\lambda, \mu) \leq \lambda^2 + \mu$, and $1 - f(1 - \gamma, 1/100) \geq (3/2)\gamma$, when $\gamma < 1/4$.*

We apply the above lemma repeatedly for at most $8 \log n$ times to get a generating set T for G such that the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, T)$ has a spectral gap of at least $1/4$. Further, by Lemma A.2, the size of T is $O(n^{16q+10})$, assuming that we use the expander graphs given by Lemma A.3 for derandomized squaring.

We cannot use a constant-degree expander to increase the spectral gap beyond a constant. For $1 - \epsilon > 1/4$, we will apply the derandomized squaring using a non-constant degree expander as described in [RV05, Section 5]. By the analysis of [RV05], if we apply derandomized squaring m times with a suitable non-constant degree expander then the second largest eigenvalue (in absolute value) will be bounded by $(7/8)^{2^m}$. In order to bound this by ϵ we can set $m = 3 + \log\log\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Also, for the i^{th} derandomized squaring step the degree of the auxiliary expander graph turns out to be 4^{q2^i}, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence the overall degree of the final Cayley graph will become $O(n^{16q+10}4^{q(2^{m+1}-1)})$. Then by Lemma A.2, the size of the generating set will be $|T| = O(n^{16q+10}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{32q})$. This completes the proof-sketch of Theorem 4.7.